TRADE

Decoding tariffs: What's the economic impact?

Jared Franz and Tryggvi Gudmundsson

March 6, 2025

A new round of tariffs targeting Canada, Mexico and China has whipsawed financial markets in recent days as investors wrestle with the implications of rising policy uncertainty and the impact on the global economy.

Assessing the potential damage to economic activity is challenging, given the rapidly changing news events. Those include on-again, off-again tariffs, a one-month reprieve for the auto industry, a pause on some tariffs against Mexico, and the likelihood that certain newly erected trade barriers are a negotiating mechanism to achieve other policy goals of the Trump administration. The unfolding narrative could, and probably will, change tomorrow.

Our Capital Strategy Research team is following the events closely, analyzing various tariff-related scenarios, how they could ultimately play out, and in what way, if at all, they may change our bigpicture outlook on the markets and the economy. But we are also cognizant of the fact that — as one of our colleagues noted — sometimes you have to "turn off the models." The standard models for analyzing the global economy are based on 40 years of data that covers a period where the direction of travel was uniformly towards greater cross-border integration, not less. Inflation was low, not high. Add in the high level of uncertainty and you're left with an environment where model results must be viewed with caution.

That's why we're using this four-box framework, along with extensive scenario planning, to consider a range of potential outcomes for the economy, markets and companies.

Decoupling: Shift supply chains and reduce reliance on certain countries



High, persistent impact

Potential countries/regions impacted: China

Potential industries impacted:

Tech, energy, industrial materials, pharma, biotech, aircraft **Rebalancing:** Reduce trade deficits and boost domestic production



Medium, persistent, mixed impact

Potential countries/regions impacted: China, EU, Japan, South Korea, Vietnam, India, Mexico, Canada, Brazil

Potential industries impacted:

Autos, steel, aluminum, agriculture, food, chemicals, consumer electronics, pharma, luxury, defense, energy, oil

Negotiating: Create leverage through economic pressure to achieve policy outcomes



Low, temporary impact

Potential countries/regions impacted: China, Mexico, Canada, EU, Japan, Latin America

Potential industries impacted:

Autos, steel, agriculture, consumer electronics, construction machinery, minerals, defense, energy, semiconductor equipment Funding: Generate revenue to fund domestic budget priorities



High, persistent impact

Potential countries/regions impacted: May be a broadly applied universal tariff

Potential industries impacted:

Consumer goods, autos, industrials; price effects and margin pressure across industries

Sources: Capital Group, American Compass. As of March 5, 2025.

A key question for investors is whether we are at the beginning of a new structural shift in the geopolitical world order, or are we witnessing a continuation of trends that have been brewing over the past decade? The answer is probably both. Trump's election victory represents a structural shift in how the U.S. approaches its leadership role in the world, but it's also an extension of forces that have been in place in the U.S. for many years and are likely to continue, in

Pedback

our view. That message has been delivered more forcefully in the past few days, which explains much of the market volatility we've seen in late February and early March.

Against this backdrop, we view the evolving tariff story in four ways:

1. Decoupling

Part of the motivation for the latest tariffs is to reduce dependence on single-source supply chains, particularly in countries such as China, where the trade war has been focused for years. This type of tariff is aimed at bringing some manufacturing activity back to the United States.

2. Rebalancing

So-called reciprocal tariffs are intended to restore balance with other trading partners, such as Europe, Japan, Mexico and Canada. The goal here is to lower the U.S. trade deficit and compel these countries to facilitate more balanced trade.

3. Negotiating

The Trump administration has made it clear that some tariffs are specifically meant to pressure other countries to assist with U.S. policy goals, such as cracking down on illegal immigration and curbing the cross-border flow of illicit drugs.

4. Funding

Tariffs are seen as a way to raise revenue for the U.S. government and potentially offset the impact of other policy goals, including tax cuts and regulatory reform.

These four motivations will have an important role in how the story plays out. For instance, tariff:

that are used for negotiating purposes are unlikely to persist over least the description. Conversely, tariffs that are part of a larger decoupling process could be here to stay.

As those issues are sorted out, the impacts on the U.S. economy and the global economy could be significant. But until we know the details and see at least some of the initial outcomes, it will be tough to reach a conclusion – beyond the obvious fact that we are navigating through a cloud of extreme uncertainty.

Our base case remains that the U.S. economy will continue on a path of healthy growth this year, driven by solid consumer spending and business investment, which in turn are supported by strong income growth and accelerating corporate profits. We think U.S. GDP growth for 2025 will be in the range of 2.5% to 3%. But the size and scale of recent policy moves could complicate that forecast.

We have always believed that focusing on fundamental drivers of the economy, such as income and profits, is the best way to forecast activity. However, we must also acknowledge that the sheer number of geopolitical developments that have occurred in the past two months might be creating enough uncertainty that economic activity could diverge from those drivers in ways that

we have not seen before. Which is why, as fundamental, bottom-up investors, it may just be time to "turn off the models" and judge the impact of these unprecedented events as they unfold.

Read important disclosures

Jared Franz is an economist with 19 years of investment industry experience (as of 12/31/2024). He holds a PhD in economics from the University of Illinois at Chicago and a bachelor's degree in mathematics from Northwestern University.

Tryggvi Gudmundsson is an economist with 16 years of investment industry experience (as of 12/31/2024). He holds a PhD from the London School of Economics and Political Science, master's and bachelor's degrees in economics from the University of Iceland.

Investments are not FDIC-insured, nor are they deposits of or guaranteed by a bank or any other entity, so they may lose value.

Investors should carefully consider investment objectives, risks, charges and expenses. This and other important information is contained in the fund <u>prospectuses</u> and <u>summary prospectuses</u>, which can be obtained from a financial professional and should be read carefully before investing.

Statements attributed to an individual represent the opinions of that individual as of the date published and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of Capital Group or its affiliates. This information is intended to highlight issues and should not be considered advice, an endorsement or a recommendation.

All Capital Group trademarks mentioned are owned by The Capital Group Companies, Inc., an affiliated company or fund. All other company and product names mentioned are the property of their respective companies.

Use of this website is intended for U.S. residents only. Use of this website and materials is also subject to approval by your home office.

Capital Client Group, Inc.

This content, developed by Capital Group, home of American Funds, should not be used as a primary basis for investment decisions and is not intended to serve as impartial investment or fiduciary advice.